

Fig. 4. A scheme for chromosomal alterations in *Myrmecia* (pilosula) n=1. Solid circles at the primary constrictions represent active NOR sites. Arrowheads indicate loci where chromosomal mutations were assumed. The  $A_1^M$  chromosome is a hypothetical acrocentric chromosome with an extraordinarily elongated heterochromatic short arm (= pseudo-acrocentric). For details see text

next alteration  $(A_2' \rightarrow M_2)$  seems to be somewhat unusual. In  $A_2'$  and  $M_2$  the size of the heterochromatin and the location of the chromosomal gap are exactly the same (Figs. 1 and 2). The only difference between them is the location of the centromere and NOR (Fig. 3). The topological pattern of the chromosomal gap precludes the chromosomal alteration from  $A_2'$  to  $M_2$  or the reverse by a simple pericentric inversion. The only possible solution seems to be a centromere shift from the terminal of  $A_2'$  to the euchromatin-heterochromatin junction of  $M_2$ . If we accept this assumption, we have to assume a shift of the active NOR site also, because there is no active NOR site in the subterminal region of the heterochromatic short arm of  $M_2$  which corresponds to the primary constriction of  $A_2$  (compare Fig. 3 h and i).

The third event is concerned with the origin of  $M_{(1+2)}$ . Based on the C-banding patterns of  $2K_4$  (= $1M_{(1+2)}$ + $1ST_1+1A_2$ ) (Fig. 2i), we concluded that  $M_{(1+2)}$  was induced by the so-called telomere fusion which occurred at the short arm terminals of  $ST_1$  and  $A_2$  (see Fig. 4, arrowheads). The centromere and NOR of  $M_{(1+2)}$  obviously originated from those of  $ST_1$  (compare Fig. 3e and f). If this interpretation is correct, we have to assume inactivation of the centromere and NOR in the short arm of  $M_{(1+2)}$ , which is homologous with  $A_2$  or  $A_2$  (Figs. 2i, 3e, h and 4).

Many cases of centromeric inactivation following telomere fusion have been reported recently in mammals (for details see Imai 1988; Imai et al. 1988b). We have found the same phenomenon in the ant *Ponera scabra*, and also centromeric 'reactivation' in *Myrmecia* (piriventris) H185-

302 (Imai et al. 1988a). These observations suggest that the inactivation of the centromere and NOR found in  $M_{(1+2)}$  of M. (pilosula) n=1 is not an unacceptable assumption. The  $M_2$  induction by the centromere and NOR shift mentioned above may be another example of inactivation and reactivation of the centromere and NOR, although we need further supporting evidence at the molecular level.

So far as our observations are concerned, the most simple but reasonable interpretation for the karyotypic alterations in M. (pilosula) n=1 may be that its ancestral karyotype was  $2K_0 = 2A_1^M + 2A_2$  (i.e., 2n=4), and the lowest karyotype,  $2K_1 = 2M_{(1+2)}$  having 2n=2, was derived secondarily from a hypothetical karyotype  $2K' = 2ST_1 + 2A_2'$  by telomere fusion between  $ST_1$  and  $A_2$ , as summarized in Figure 4

Acknowledgements. We thank Mr. Noel McGrath for his kind assistance in collecting Myrmecia (pilosula) n=1 at South Warrandyte (Vic.). Large-scale field studies were supported by the Overseas Visitors Program of the C S I R O Division of Entomology (Australia) and by a Grant-in-Aid for Overseas Scientific Research from the Japanese Ministry of Education.

## References

Brown WL Jr (1953) Revisionary notes on the ant genus Myrmecia of Australia. Bull Mus Comp Zool Harv III:1–35

Clark J (1954) The Formicidae of Australia. Vol 1 Subfamily Myrmicinae. CSIRO, Melbourne, pp 230

Crosland MWJ, Crozier RH (1986) Myrmecia pilosula, an ant with only one pair of chromosomes. Science 231:1278

Crosland MWJ, Crozier RH, Imai HT (1988) Evidence for several sibling biological species centered on Myrmecia pilosula (F SMITH) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). J Aust Entomol Soc 27:13-14

Howell WM, Black DA (1980) Controlled silver-staining of nucleus organizer regions with a protective colloidal developer: a 1-step method. Experientia 36:1014–1015

Imai HT (1988) Centric fission in man and mammals. In: Daniel A (ed) The cytogenetics of mammalian autosomal rearrangements. Alan R Liss, New York, pp 551-582

Imai HT, Crozier RH (1980) Quantitative analysis of directionality in mammalian karyotype evolution. Am Nat 116:537-569

Imai HT, Crozier RH, Taylor RW (1977) Karyotype evolution in Australian ants. Chromosoma 59:341-393

Imai HT, Taylor RW, Crosland MWJ, Crozier RH (1988a) Modes of spontaneous chromosomal mutation and karyotype evolution in ants with reference to the minimum interaction hypothesis. Jpn J Genet 63:159–185

Imai HT, Takahata N, Maruyama T, Daniel A, Honda T, Matsuda Y, Moriwaki K (1988b) Theoretical bases for karyotype evolution. II The fusion burst in man and mouse. Jpn J Genet 63:313-342

Palomeque T, Chica E, Cano MA (1988) Karyotypes, C-banding, and chromosomal location of active nucleolar organizing regions in Tapinoma (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Genome 30:277–280

Received April 5, 1989 / in revised form October 3, 1989 Accepted by T.C. Hsu