Table 1. Comparative measurements of Azteca alfari and A. ovaticeps. Ranges are given, followed in parentheses by median and sample size. All measurements are in mm. Characters in bold show the greatest separation between alfari and ovaticeps.

-	Species			
	alfari		ovaticeps	
Queens				
HL	1.51-1.69	(1.63, 26)	1.51-1.72	(1.59, 19)
HW	1.24-1.43	(1.35, 26)	1.20-1.39	(1.32, 19)
SL	0.71-0.81	$(0.77, 25)^*$	0.73-0.85	(0.80, 19)
EL	0.39-0.45	(0.43, 13)	0.37-0.42	(0.40, 13)
WL	2.55-3.05	(2.80, 25)	2.70-3.15	(2.88, 16)
CI	0.778-0.856	(0.826, 26)	0.785-0.863	(0.820, 19)
SI	0.543-0.610	(0.573, 25)**	0.589-0.669	(0.608, 19)
GTC	0-5	(0, 80)	17->100	(>100, 26)
			(Costa Rica)	
			2-42	(14, 11)
			(South America)	
Workers				
HL	0.80 - 1.38	(1.06, 32)	0.87-1.29	(1.10, 16)
HW	0.72-1.21	(0.96, 32)	0.77-1.16	(0.99, 16)
SL	0.53-0.74	(0.64, 24)	0.58-0.75	(0.71, 16)
CI	0.858-0.942	(0.905, 32)	0.870-0.914	(0.888, 16)
SI	0.603-0.734	(0.681, 24)	0.632-0.763	(0.715, 16)
MSC	2-17	(8, 66)	10->19	(>20,40)

^{*} Outlier from Panama, 0.85.

species and are blunt to somewhat knobbed in others. Azteca alfari group males exhibit slightly knobbed volsellae, as do many other Azteca species.

Azteca male antennae are composed of a short trapezoidal first segment (scape), a globular second segment, and variably shaped segments 3 to 13. The first and second segments are largely bare, whereas the remaining segments are densely covered with short setae. There is both intra- and interspecific variation in the size and shape of antennal segments, particularly segment 3. Although the shape of the third antennal segment is used to differentiate species within the alfari group (see below), diagnostic male characters for the alfari group as a whole are unknown.

In Azteca males preserved in alcohol, I have often observed what appear to be large eversible sacs between the anus and tergite VIII and between tergites VII and VIII. These sacs are probably associated with glandular products (Hölldobler and Engel-Siegel, 1982). I speculate that elaboration of antennal structure and abdominal glands results from a reliance on chemical communication during courtship and mating, replacing structural elaboration of genitalia as a reproductive isolating mechanism or feature subject to sexual selection (cf. Eberhard, 1985). This could be examined through comparative studies of Azteca mating behavior and pheromone chemistry.

SPECIES ACCOUNTS

Azteca alfari Emery, 1893

Table 1

Figures 1, 4–9, 14–21, 28–32, 37–42, 47

Azteca alfari Emery, 1893:138; syntype workers: Jiménez, Altantic slope, Costa Rica (Alfaro) [MCSN] (examined, one worker here designated as LECTOTYPE, remainder of nest series PARA-LECTOTYPES, other material excluded from type series).

Azteca alfaroi Emery; Emery, 1896:4 (description of queen).

Azteca alfaroi race lucidula Forel, 1899:113; syntype workers, queens, males: Trinidad (Urich); Guatemala, Retalhuleu (Stoll) [MHNG] NEW SYNONYMY (examined, one queen from Trinidad here designated as LECTOTYPE, remainder of nest series PARALECTOTYPES, other material excluded from type series).

Azteca virens Forel, 1899:115; syntype workers: Brazil, Amazonas, Pará (Göldi) [MCZC] NEW SYNONYMY (examined).

Azteca alfari subsp. cecropiae Forel, 1906:240; syntype workers: Brazil, Amazonas, Manaus (Göldi, Huber) [MHNG] NEW SYNONYMY (Huber series examined, one Huber worker here designated LECTOTYPE, other material excluded from type series).

^{**} Outlier from Mexico, 0.649.