acterized on morphological and behavioral characters. The behavioral distinction between *Raptiformica* and such species as *F. manni*, *F. bradleyi* and their relatives have not been emphasized to the fullest extent. *Raptiformica*, as interpreted and characterized by Buren, contains only dulotic species. Those species which have been excluded from *Raptiformica* do not, so far as currently available data would indicate, ever take other species as slaves. Indeed, two of these excluded species may function as slaves to *Raptiformica*. I believe that this still further justifies the exclusion of these species from *Raptiformica*.

References

- Buren, W. F. 1968. Some fundamental taxonomic problems in *Formica*. Jour. Georgia Ent. Soc. 3:25–40.
- Cole, A. C., Jr. 1946. A description of Formica parcipappa, a new ant from Idaho. Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer. 39:616–618.
- Creighton, W. S. 1935. Two new species of Formica from western United States. Amer. Mus. Novit. 773:1–8.
 - _____. 1950. The ants of North America. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 104: 1–585.
- Gregg, R. E. 1963. The ants of Colorado. Univ. Colo. Press, Boulder, 1-792.
 Wilson, E. O. and Brown, W. L., Jr. 1955. Revisionary notes on the sanguinea and neogagates groups of the ant genus Formica. Psyche 62:108-129.