

- Petiolar node as seen from above with a straight or convex posterior margin; mandibles not as in Fig. 6; no carina on post-petiolar dorsum 4
4. Same as couplet 3 of worker key, above: *major* vs. *clavicornis*.
 Note: *T. foreli* is not included in the key. It may be the female of *T. major*.

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to my colleagues G. Grandi, F. Keiser, W. W. Kempf and C. Portocarrero for the opportunity to review important material in their care. This project was supported by National Science Foundation Grants G-23680 and GB-2175, and publication was aided by a grant from the Grace Griswold Fund, Department of Entomology and Limnology, Cornell University.

REFERENCES CITED

- BROWN, W. L., JR.
 1950. Morphological, taxonomic and other notes on ants. Wasmann Jour. Biol., San Francisco, 8: 241-250.
 1953 (1952). Composition of the ant tribe Typhlomyrmicini. Psyche, 59: 104.
 1958. Contributions toward a reclassification of the Formicidae. II. Tribe Ectatommini (Hymenoptera). Bull. Mus. comp. Zool. Harv., 118: 173-362.
- EMERY, C.
 1911. Ponerinae, in Wytzman, Gen. Insect., 118: 125 pp. and 3 pl.
- KEMPF, W.W.
 1961. A survey of the ants of the soil fauna in Surinam (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Studia Ent., Petrópolis, Brazil, 4: 481-524.
- WHEELER, G. C., AND J. WHEELER
 1952. The ant larvae of the subfamily Ponerinae—Part I. Amer. midl. Nat., 48: 111-144, 5 pl. Part II. Ibid., pp. 604-672, 2 pl.
 1964. The ant larvae of the subfamily Ponerinae: Supplement. Ann. ent. Soc. Amer., 57: 443-462, 19 figs.