Discussion of the phylogeny of *Anochetus* species-groups is reserved for the treatment of that genus to be presented in Part VIB of the reclassification.

Odontomachus and Anochetus, though obviously closely related, have been separated for more than a century, and during this time, Stenomyrmex has been distinguished either as a genus or as a subgenus of Anochetus. Emery (1892: 558) raised Champsomyrmex for the aberrant species Odontomachus coquereli, which fits Odontomachus in some characteres and Anochetus (Stenomyrmex) in others. Several years ago, after attempting to use the traditional (Emery, 1911: 107-112) diagnostic characters, I decided that all of these groups intergraded broadly, and that they should be included as synonyms within a broadened Odontomachus (Brown, 1973: 178 ff.). The MCZ ant collection was rearranged accordingly, and countless specimens were distributed to other collections with determination labels as «Odontomachus» replacing the generic name «Anochetus» wherever it would previously have been used. But now, at a late stage of manuscript preparation, I suddenly have found a very distinct and unequivocal character that will distinguish Odontomachus from Anochetus at a glance. By its use, Champsomyrmex falls into Odontomachus as a synonym, and Stenomyrmex into Anochetus. But before we discuss this new character, let us review the traditional ones.

It seems clear that species have been placed in either *Odontomachus* or *Anochetus* on three main characters of the workers and queens.

- 1) Size: larger species are usually Odontomachus, smaller ones Anochetus. 2) Shape of petiolar node: Odontomachus species usually have a more or less conical node with an acute or spiniform summit, while Anochetus has a rounded, transverse or bidentate nodal summit. 3) Antennal fossae: Odontomachus has distinct fossae, bordered by swellings and confluent on the midline of the vertex (see fig. 2), whereas in Anochetus, the fossae are absent, or at least not confluent. Taking the world fauna, most species can be placed in either genus on all three criteria. There remains a residue of species in which these characters occur discordantly. Let us take each criterion in its turn.
- 1) Size. The species of all groups can be placed on a single size gradient, along which they overlap broadly. Stenomyrmex alone includes species such as horridus, well down in the Anochetus size range, and