near Pheidole. Hölldobler and Wilson (1990: 16) agreed with Brown and placed Rogeria and Stenamma within tribe Pheidolini. Bolton (1994) retained tribe Stenammini and included the following genera: Ancyridris, Bariamyrma, Calyptomyrmex, Cyphoidris, Dacatria, Dacetinops, Dicroaspis, the extinct Ilemomyrmex, Indomyrma, Lachnomyrmex, Lordomyrma, Proatta, Rogeria, Rostromyrmex, Stenamma, Thetheamyrma. Bolton also tentatively included Mayriella, Adelomyrmex, and Baracidris. This revision follows Bolton's placement of Stenamma within tribe Stenammini.

Of the genera I have examined, it appears Stenamma and Rogeria are closely related. However, I do not have ready access to many of the smaller genera also placed in tribe Stenammini. Kugler revised Rogeria (Kugler, 1994) and kindly loaned me examples representing ranges of variation found in Rogeria. He also indicated Stenamma and Rogeria are similar in size and appearance. Kugler (pers. comm.) listed the following features as separating Stenamma from Rogeria:

- "1) [Stenamma has] a distinct to indistinct four segmented antennal club which sometimes appears 3 segmented, but then the apical segment was less than the length of the rest of the club.
- "2) [Stenamma has] no grooves on the posteroventral aspect of the head into which the anterior edges of the pronotum fit ...
- "3) [Stenamma has] a well rounded anteroventral corner of the pronotum." (Kugler, 1987, pers. comm.)

Kugler (1994: 25) further stated: "Stenamma (Pheidolini) workers are similar [to Rogeria] in form of clypeus, including marrow posterior portion between frontal lobes, and some have 3-segmented antennal clubs, but in that case the apical segment is shorter than the combined length of the other two segments. Also, Stenamma has no nuchal grooves, the anteroventral corner of the pronotum is rounded, and the metanotal groove is generally more distinct than in Rogeria species. Larvae of Stenamma differ from those of Rogeria as follows: form aphaenogastroid; cranium subhexagonal; mandibles pogonomyrmecoid (similar to ectatommoid); body hairs bifid or denticulate, not anchor-tipped..."

Stenamma has a palpal formula of 4,3 while Rogeria has a palpal formula of 3,3 or less. Additionally, Stenamma has a microsetae lined pit at the base of the procoxae. While some Rogeria have this pit, it is not lined with microsetae. The function of this pit is unknown.

Since only Stenamma species from Palaearctic and Oriental regions